

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/332,803	VOGELS, RONALD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Guzo	1636	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) David Guzo. (3) _____.

(2) G. Scott Dorland. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

72, 76, 89

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment to the claims which obviated a potential 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection concerning the word "derived". The amendment to the specification was necessary to provide the proper SEQ ID NO identifier to a sequence disclosed in the drawings..